

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,401	07/31/2003		Keiji Hashimoto	15468-004001 / PA0117US	2928	
20985	7590	02/13/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RI	CHARD	SON, PC	ALEJANDRO	ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Will Will Colley III		WIN 33110 1022		1745		
				DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				0			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Office A - 41 Comment		10/632,401	HASHIMOTO ET AL.				
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Raymond Alejandro	1745				
<i> Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app aply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
A SHORT WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perior - Failure to r Any reply re	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. d for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, entire the months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 07/31	1/03					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
· · · · ·	, —						
	ed in accordance with the practice under E	-					
Disposition o	of Claims						
4a) (5)	im(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.	·				
Application F	Papers	·					
_	 specification is objected to by the Examine 	•					
	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer.				
	licant may not request that any objection to the						
	lacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	• •	(d).			
11) The	oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
	deferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Information	oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/632,401 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to separators for a fuel cell, classified in class 428, subclass457.
- II. Claims 16-19, drawn to fuel cells, classified in class 429, subclass 34.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the fuel cells can be effectively used any one of the specific separator species set forth below. The subcombination has separate utility such as providing a separating feature. In this case, Claim AB_{br} is an evidence claim which indicates that the combination does not rely upon the specific details of the subcombination for its patentability. Additionally, the claimed plural combinations are evidence that the subcombination has utility in more than one combination. Since claims to both the subcombination and combination are presented, the omission of details of the claimed subcombination Bsp in the combination claim ABbr is evidence that the combination does not rely upon the specific limitations of the subcombination for its patentability. See MPEP 806.05(c) [R-3] Criteria of Distinctness Between Combination and Subcombination.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,401

Art Unit: 1745

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In addition, further restriction is thus required. Thus, applicant must elect one (1) of the above groups and one (1) of the species below.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: the first embodiment (Figure 3);

Species 2: the second embodiment (Figure 4);

Species 3: the third embodiment (Figure 5);

Species 4: the fourth embodiment (Figure 6);

Species 5: the fifth embodiment;

Species 6: the sixth embodiment;

Species 7: the seventh embodiment (Figure 7):

Species 8: the eighth embodiment;

Species 9: the ninth embodiment;

Species 10: the tenth embodiment (Figure 8);

Species 11: the eleventh embodiment (Figure 9);

Species 12: the twelfth embodiment (Figure 10);

Art Unit: 1745

Species 13: the thirteenth embodiment (Figure 11);

Species 14: the fourteenth embodiment (Figure 12);

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Scott Harris on 02/07/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,401

Art Unit: 1745

Page 5

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (571) 272-1282. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 am - 6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond Alejandro
Primary Examineraymond ALEJANDRO

Art Unit 1745

PRIMARY EXAMINER